



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/844,301	04/27/2001	Hiromi Oshima	KPO116	1198
25271	7590 08/27/2003			
GALLAGHER & LATHROP, A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION			EXAMINER	
SUITE 1111			CHUNG, PHUNG M	
SAN FRANC	CISCO, CA 94108		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	2133	·Q
		•	DATE MAIL ED. 00/27/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Call

			(1)
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•	09/844,301	OSHIMA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Phung M. Chung	2133	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ad	dress -
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may y within the statutory minimum of t will apply and will expire SIX (6) M c, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timel ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims			e merits is
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7 and 8</u> is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5 and 6</u> is/are rejected.			
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acception to the	-		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	<u></u>		or
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		disapproved by the Examin	ei.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	, <del>•</del>		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n nriority under 35 U.S.C	: 8 119(a)-(d) or (f)	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	r priority under do diord	y, g , , o(a) (a) o. (i).	
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.		
2.☐ Certified copies of the priority document		Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	rity documents have bee	en received in this National	Stage
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.0	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional	l application).
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest</li> </ul>			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6.	5) Notice	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No of Informal Patent Application (PT	

Application/Control Number: 09/844301 Page 2

Art Unit: 2133

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

2. Claims 1-2 and 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Akiyama (6,009,028) in view of Orita et al. (6,499,119).

As per claims 1-2, Akiyama discloses a memory testing method, comprising the steps of: writing a predetermined logical value in memory cells contituting each blocks of a memory having block function;

reading out the written logical value from the memory cells in each block;

rendering a decision that, when the read-out logical value and the expected logical value do not coincide with each other, such memory cell is a failure memory cell; and

Application/Control Number: 09/844301 Page 3

Art Unit: 2133

discontinuing, when the number of failure memory cells in a block being now tested reaches a predetermined number, the test of such block. (See col. 10, lines 19- 28, lines 34-45 and col. 6, lines 39-45). Although, Akiyama does not disclose the step of rendering a decision that, when the read-out logical value and the written logical value do not coincide with each other. However, Orita et al disclose such decision, when the read-out logical value and the written logical value do not coincide with each other. (See col. 7, lines 10-15). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to incorporate the step of rendering the decision that, when the read-out logical value and the written logical value do not conincide with each other as taugh by Orita into the invention of Akiyama so that it is possible to recognize the kind of fault.

As per claims 5-6, these method claims are also rejected under the same rationale as set forth in claims 1-2.

3. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Akiyama (6,009,028) in view of Orita et al (6,499,119) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Irrinki et al (5,956,350).

The teaching of Akiyama and Orita et al have been discussed above. They did not disclose that the failure block is repaired with a spare block. However, Irrinki et al disclose redundant memory cells is replaced with faulty memory cells. (See col. 10, lines 1-2). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to incorporate the replacement of failure memory cell with the spare

Application/Control Number: 09/844301

Page 4

Art Unit: 2133

memory cell of Irrinki et al into the invention of Akiyama and Orita et al to maintain the ability

to detect and repair failures dynamically at the customer site.

4. Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be

allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and

any intervening claims.

5. Claims 7-8 are allowable.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Phung My Chung whose telephone number is 703-305-9686. The examiner

can normally be reached on Monday-Tuesday and Thursday-Friday from 9:30am to 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Decady, Albert can be reached on (703) 305-9595. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-746-7239.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-9000.

hung My Chung

Primary Patent Examiner

Technology Center 2100